UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

wary i	L. Lenz,			
	Plaintiff,			
	v .		Case No. 1:	01cv599
Cincinnati Insurance Co., et al,			District Judge	e Michael H. Watson
	Defenda	ants.		
		SPECIAL INT	ERROGATORIES	_
We the	e Jury unanimou	sly find:		
1.	Defendant CIC proved by a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiff wrongfully converted funds belonging to CIC and deprived CIC of its right to these funds by placing the funds in her personal bank account without permission or authority.			
	X_YES	NO		
	If you answered Form.	d "NO" to Interrogatory	No. 1, please proceed to the	e appropriate Verdict
	If you answered	"YES" to Interrogator	y No. 1, please proceed to I	nterrogatory No. 2.
2.	What amount, o	of compensatory dama	ges, if any, is Defendant Cl	C entitled?
	\$/60	0		
Signed	d this25 ed day	of June, 2005.		

Signed this ______day of June, 2005.

Maney R Ciarmells

Oalm 3. vind

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO **WESTERN DIVISION**

VERDICT		
Defendants.		
Cincinnati Insurance Co., et al,	District Judge Michael H. Watson	
v .	Case No. 1:01cv599	
Plaintiff,		
Mary E. Lentz,		

We the jury, being duly empaneled and sworn, unanimously find for Defendant CIC on its claim for conversion and against Plaintiff Mary Lentz.

Signed this _23 EC day of June, 2005.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

Mary E. Lentz,	
Plaintiff,	
v.	Case No. 1:01cv599
Cincinnati Insurance Co., et al,	District Judge Michael H. Watson
Defendants.	
	·
	RDICT
We the jury, being duly empaneled and s	worn, unanimously find for Plaintiff Mary Lentz an
against Defendant CIC on its claim for conversi	
Signed this day of June, 2005.	